Changes in corneoscleral rigidity and corneal thickness at various target intraocular pressures in patients with stabilized primary open-angle glaucoma
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Introduction. Current methods for determining target IOP have disadvantages. Investigation of data on corneoscleral rigidity and corneal thickness in patients with stabilized primary open-angle glaucoma of stages I-II may improve the method of determining target IOP.

Purpose. To study the relationship between indices of corneoscleral rigidity, corneal thickness and target IOP in patients with stabilized primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG) of stages I-II.

Material and Methods. 30 patients (30 eyes) with stabilized POAG of the I-II stage were examined. The patients underwent pachymetry, applanation tonometry, dynamic contour tonometry, corneal rigidity determination and tonography. The progression of glaucoma was monitored by the HFA II Central 30-2 Threshold Test and SOCT Copernicus + units. Target IOP was calculated taking into account the age and the level of diastolic blood pressure.

Results. It was found that, in group 1 with target IOP of 6.2 - 13.9 mm Hg, the corneal thickness was 540-590 μm and rigidity of the cornea ranged from 1.0 to 4.0 mm Hg; while in group 2 with target IOP of 14.0 - 16.8 mm Hg, the corneal thickness was 510-570 μm and rigidity of the cornea ranged from -3.0 to 1.5 mm Hg.

Conclusions. It was found that the lower a value of achieved target IOP, the higher corneal rigidity in patients with stabilized stage I-II POAG.
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Introduction

A key point for effective treatment of patients with primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG) is reducing intraocular pressure (IOP) to target IOP. Target IOP is an upper limit of IOP at which it is possible to control damage to inner eyeball structures and breakdown of visual function [1]. The existing methods for determining target IOP suffer from a number of shortcomings since it is determined empirically considering all risk factors of a patient: baseline IOP, a glaucoma stage, a progression rate during follow-up, age, and diastolic blood pressure [2]. This keeps out of accurate determining a target IOP level. Since there is no generally recognized method to determine tolerant and target IOP, observational studies on stabilization of glaucomatous process in optimal POAG treatment are running.

In the literature, there are single data on a role of corneoscleral rigidity in the development of glaucoma [3]. To-date, corneoscleral rigidity is considered as corneal stiffness that characterizes an ability of fibrous tunica of the eye to resist changing the shape under external and internal macroactions [4]. It has been found that rigidity of the fibrous tunica of the eye is pathologically increased even at the early stage of glaucoma; herewith, rigidity progresses gradually, reflecting the stages of glaucoma, with a sudden change only in going from the advanced to end stage of glaucoma [5]. It should be noted that a clinical value of such a parameter as corneoscleral rigidity has not been determined completely in glaucoma diagnostics and it is important to detail its common sense and prognostic value for patients with POAG. Unlike corneoscleral rigidity, the corneal thickness is a more investigated parameter which has an effect on IOP and glaucoma diagnostics in general. Previously, corrective mechanisms have been developed for calculating an IOP level in dependence on the central corneal thickness (CCT). Analyzing CCT values and corresponding IOPs has shown that with a decrease in the central corneal thickness there is a decrease in intraocular pressure according to applanation tonometry readings [6]. Later on, J.H. Liu has demonstrated that tonometry errors mostly depend on the corneal biomechanics rather than on the corneal thickness [7].

We suppose that studying values of corneal rigidity and thickness in patients with stabilized primary open-angle glaucoma of stages I-II can contribute to improving the method for determining target IOP.

**Purpose.** To study the relationship between values of corneoscleral rigidity, corneal thickness, and target IOP in patients with stabilized primary open-angle glaucoma of stages I-II.

**Material and Methods**

20 patients (30 eyes) with stabilized primary open-angle glaucoma of stages I-II were examined. All patients were performed a comprehensive ophthalmic examination including visual acuity testing using the Sivtsev-Golovin tables, autokeratorefractometry, perimetry, biomicroscopy, ophthalmoscopy, pachymetry, application tonometry by Maklakov, dynamic contour tonometry, corneal rigidity testing, computed corneal topography, optical A-scanning, tonography.

A vision field analyzer (HFA II Central 30-2 Threshold Test) and SOCT Copernicus were used to monitor changes in glaucomatous and morphological parameters.

Maklakov tonometry and dynamic contour tonometry were performed. Maklakov application tonometry was made by a 5g tonometer. True IOP was determined by computed tonography.

Dynamic contour tonometry was performed using a PASCAL tonometer which operates on a dynamic contour based on a physical phenomenon of the Pascal law. A tonometer head, contacting with the cornea, is of a concave shape with a contour duplicating a curve of the anterior corneal surface and enables to minimize the impact of corneal properties on readings. The piezoresistive pressure sensor is integrated into the contour. The contour has a 10.4 mm radius or 32.5D according to keratometry, which makes it possible to use for the cornea a device with a curve radius over 5-6 mm (55-65D) and central thickness of 300-700 μm. In such conditions, the curvatures of the cornea and the contour match in a certain area with minimal pressure on the eyeball (less than 1 g) and the sensor registers IOP by “a direct transcorneal method” [8].

Rigidity of the corneoscleral coat of the eye was determined as a difference between IOP measured by Maklakov tonometry and true IOP measured by PASCAL tonometry [9].

Target IOP was calculated in consideration with age and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) using a formula: P0 target = 9.5 + 0.07 × DBP – 0.024 × age [2].

Statistical data were processed by methods recommended for medical research [10, 11].

All data obtained were based into a specially designed table, autokeratorefractometry, perimetry, biomicroscopy, ophthalmoscopy, pachymetry, application tonometry by Maklakov, dynamic contour tonometry, corneal rigidity testing, computed corneal topography, optical A-scanning, tonography.

We analyzed, evaluated, and compared the OCT data of the studied groups with different target IOPs (Fig. 2). Thus, the corneal thickness in group 1 (target IOP = 6.2 -13.9 mm Hg) was equal to 540 to 590 μm (Fig. 3) while in group 2 (target IOP = 14.0 -16.8 mm Hg) that ranged from 510 to 570 μm (Fig. 4).

Afterwards, corneoscleral rigidity was calculated in the patients of each group (Table 3). It was found that rigidity of the cornea ranged from 1.0 to 4.0 mm Hg and from -3.0 to 1.5 mm Hg in group 1 (with a target IOP of 6.2 -13.9 mm Hg and corneal thickness of 540-590) and 2 (with a target IOP of 14.0 -16.8 mm Hg and corneal thickness of 510-570), respectively (Fig. 5).

Figure 5 demonstrates that the lower a value of achieved target IOP, the higher corneal rigidity in the patients with corneoscleral rigidity should be considered.

**Results and Discussion**

Target IOP, recommended due to age and DBP, was achieved in all 30 patients (30 eyes) with stabilized POAG of stages II-III and was within the range between 6.2 and 16.8 mm Hg (Table 1). True IOP was assessed using tonography. Stabilization of glaucomatous process was controlled considering the parameters of mean deviation (MD), pattern standard deviation (PSD) on computed perimetry; parameters of optic disc cupping, a cup-to-disc ratio, cupping area, a vertical cup-to-disc ratio; and OCT data on the mean thickness of retinal nerve fibers in all quadrants in dynamics. Glaucomatous process stabilization control showed that the patients in the studied group had a stabilized form of stage II-I glaucoma.

Based on a level of target IOP, we divided the patients into two groups: group 1 comprised 11 patients with target IOP ranging from 6.2 to 13.9 mm Hg; group 2 comprised 19 patients with target IOP ranging from 14.0 to 16.8 mm Hg (Fig. 1).

The central corneal thickness was measured in all patients and its range was from 510 to 590 μm (Table 2).

We analyzed, evaluated, and compared the OCT data of the studied groups with different target IOPs (Fig. 2). Thus, the corneal thickness in group 1 (target IOP = 6.2 -13.9 mm Hg) was equal to 540 to 590 μm (Fig. 3) while in group 2 (target IOP = 14.0 -16.8 mm Hg) that ranged from 510 to 570 μm (Fig. 4).

Afterwards, corneoscleral rigidity was calculated in the patients of each group (Table 3).

It was found that rigidity of the cornea ranged from 1.0 to 4.0 mm Hg and from -3.0 to 1.5 mm Hg in group 1 (with a target IOP of 6.2 -13.9 mm Hg and corneal thickness of 540-590) and 2 (with a target IOP of 14.0 -16.8 mm Hg and corneal thickness of 510-570), respectively (Fig. 5).

Figure 5 demonstrates that the lower a value of achieved target IOP, the higher corneal rigidity in the patients with stabilized stage I-II POAG.

**Conclusions**

First, our findings point at the fact that a value of target IOP must be lower in patients with higher rigidity than in patients with low rigidity.

Second, when calculating and controlling a level of target IOP in POAG patients, values of the corneal thickness and corneoscleral rigidity should be considered.
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Table 1. Statistical values of patients with true target intraocular pressure (IOP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter studied</th>
<th>Number of studied patients (n)</th>
<th>Mean (M)</th>
<th>Minimal value</th>
<th>Maximal value</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>True target IOP, mm HG.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>2.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Statistical values of patients with different values of central corneal thickness (CCT), μm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter studied</th>
<th>Number of studied patients (n)</th>
<th>Mean (M)</th>
<th>Minimal value</th>
<th>Maximal value</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCT, μm</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>548.2</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Statistical values of patients with different values of corneoscleral rigidity (Ec), mm Hg

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter studied</th>
<th>Number of studied patients (n)</th>
<th>Mean (M)</th>
<th>Minimal value</th>
<th>Maximal value</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ec, mm Hg</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. A percentage ratio of stabilized primary open-angle glaucoma patients based on target intraocular pressure (mm Hg)

Fig. 2. A ratio of true target intraocular pressure and central corneal thickness in patients with stabilized stage I-II primary open-angle glaucoma

Fig. 3. Distribution of true target intraocular pressure (P0), mm Hg, and central corneal thickness, μm, in group 1 of patients with stabilized primary open-angle glaucoma of stages I-II
Fig. 4. Distribution of true target intraocular pressure (P0), mm Hg, and central corneal thickness, μm, in group 2 of patients with stabilized primary open-angle glaucoma of stages I-II.

Fig. 5. Distribution of true target intraocular pressure, mm Hg, due to age and diastolic blood pressure in groups with different central corneal thicknesses, μm, and corneal rigidity (Ec, mm Hg.)